

Statement by Minister

Four statements were made by the Ministers in reply to Call Attention Notices by Members.

Report of the Committee

During the session one report of the Business Advisory Committee was presented and it was adopted.

Constitution of the Committees

The following Committees were constituted.

1. The Business Advisory Committee.
2. The Committee on Public Accounts.
3. The Committee on Estimates.
4. The Committee of privileges.
5. The Committee on Private Members Resolutions and Bills.
6. The House Committee.

Questions

Three short notice questions were put and answered.

Obituary References

Obituary references were made about the death of Sriyuths: Angadi Channabasappa, A. Bheemappa Naik and S. Avalareddy, Ex-members of the Legislative Assembly.

I allowed a little representation, discussion and other things, as the Hon'ble member Sri Ramappa will kindly note, because we had still half-an-hour's time. I did not want to deprive the members of the time. Now, I have to adjourn the House.

Members' Representation

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಪ್ಪಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಗೌಡ.—ನಾಲ್ಕನೆಯ ಮಹಾಕುನಾವಣೆ ನಡೆದ ಮೇರೆ ಈ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆ ರಚನೆಯಾಗಿ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಅಧಿವೇಶನ ಸೇರಿ, ಇಂದು ಅಖ್ಯಾಯಿಕೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ತಾವು “ಅರ್ಡರ್, ಅರ್ಡರ್”, ಅಂಥ ಹೇಳುವಾಗ ಅಥವಾ ನಾವು ರಿಜೈರ್ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವಾಗ ಈ ಭಾಗದ ಜನಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆದರಿಕೆಯುಂಟಾಗುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಹಾಗೆ ಭಾವಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾನೇನು ಮಾಡಲಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ನಮಗೆ ಆ ಭಾವನೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೇ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಏನಂತಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ, ಮನುಷ್ಯನ ಎಡ ಭಾಗ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪವಿತ್ರವಾದ ಜಾಗ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರನಿಗೆ ಎಡಗಡೆ ಪಾರ್ವತಿ ಇರುವುದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಈ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಮ್ಮ ಒಲುಮೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಮುಂದಿನ ಅಧಿವೇಶನ ಬಹಳ ದಿವಸ ಸೇರಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಆ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಒಲುಮೆ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ಈ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನವಾಗಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ತಮಗೆ ಮುನ್ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ತಾವು ಅಲ್ಲಿ 'ಆರ್ಡರ್' ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದಾಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೆ ಕಪಾಳಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಡೆದ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ; ಅಷ್ಟು ಭಯಂಕರವಾದ ವಾತಾವರಣ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಟ್ಟುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಹೃದಯ ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಪ್ರೀತಿವಂತರು ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ವಿಶ್ವಾಸದಿಂದ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ನಾವು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಚಳುವಳಿ, ಗಿಳುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ತಾವು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಪಾಟಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರನ್ನು ನಾವು ಬಯಲಿಗೆ ಎಳೆಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

4-00 P.M.

†Sri K. H. PATIL.—May I take this opportunity for mentioning and bringing to the notice of the House that the Hon'ble Minister for Law who had promised that he was going to place a statement before the House in regard to the Hanumanmatti Institute. When the Leader of the House was making his speech the other day, he did not reply to the queries that had been raised by me and Mr. Speaker was kind enough to direct them to produce that statement, which is not at all produced. This shows that they are not respecting the commands of the House and of the Speaker. Because of the fact that that statement has not been made available—and it requires no time at all. I am bringing to the kind notice of the House that such a sort of attitude of the Government taking no notice of the sentiments expressed in this Hon'ble House. It is therefore necessary that the statement may be placed before the House. Otherwise, if they do not do it today, we will have no opportunity for another month. Therefore, they are shrewed enough in using their intelligence cleverly and they are disrespecting the wishes of the House, Sir.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, it was by chance that the Hon'ble Minister for Education or myself did not take part in the debate. Otherwise, we had all the information regarding Hanumanamatti and I would have placed the statement on the Table. I think it is in the Education Department and I will get it and have it placed on the Table of the House.

The Hon'ble Member Sri K. H. Patil referred to matters which were *sub judice*. In those criminal proceedings, he himself is involved as an accused.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—If my Hon'ble Friend Mr. Kanthi is understanding me, and my speech, I am not referring to matters which are *sub judice*. I only wish Mr. Kanthi must have studied so that he could have understood the subject matter properly. If he goes through the record,

he will understand that I have never uttered a single word pertaining to matters *sub judice*. I am fully aware of the matter on which I am accused. Mr. Kanthi and his Government have taken action to depute a person and entrusted him with extraordinary powers misusing the Governmental authority, to take over the management of that Institution. That will never be a matter *sub judice*. Therefore, I bring to the notice of Mr. Kanthi that it is a wrong statement he has gone on making that I have referred to matters which are *sub judice*.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I have already explained to a certain extent this Hanumanmatti affair. This is a rural institution having the status of almost a university. What happened there were certain matters on which the Government of India wanted clarification from the Government of Mysore. They asked us to go into the entire question of accounts because the Government of India pays 75 per cent and the State Government pays 12½ per cent towards the maintenance.....

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That has already been said the other day.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I seek the protection of the Speaker. Sir, I have been explaining that the Government of India gives 75 per cent grant and the Mysore Government pays 12½ per cent and the institution has to bear the expenditure to the extend of 12.5 per cent. When this was so, large sums are involved in the institution and complaints were made and strikes were staged. So, the Government of India had to intervene and they asked us to go into the entire question. A Joint Director was sent there. He went into the question. The Joint Director made a report to the Government saying that the entire accounts should be examined by the Accountant General. We made a reference to the Government of India. The Government of India appointed the Accountant-General to go into the accounts, and the Accountant General found that there were very gross irregularities in the accounts and he made a report to the Government of India. On that report, the Government of India appointed the Central Police Officers to investigate into the matters and these Central Police officers are now investigating and some cases have already been filed in the Bangalore Magistrate's Court. It so happens that in two cases arising out of this, my friend is involved. These are the facts and my Hon'ble friend says that we interfered unnecessarily. It is not so. Because the Government of India asked us to interfere, they asked us to appoint a person of the status of a Director, that person was appointed on his pay with certain allowances. Sir, this was done as directed by the Government of India, as the Governing body of the Rural Institute gave in writing to the Government of India that they may appoint a Director with full powers. This was challenged by the main body of the Institute. Then we sat together and we came to the conclusion that this Institution should come under a registered body in which there should be Government officials and also representatives of the MANTAP which should manage the entire institution. The Government of India had ordered to close the Institution and not

(SRI S. R. KANTHI)

to admit anybody. Sir, I tell you, I personally made attempts along with the Secretary and Deputy Secretary, to get the permission of the Government of India that it would not be right to close the institution and they must allow us to admit boys for 1966-67 and we have taken admissions and it is running now. That person who was appointed Director, he was appointed from the Karnatak University. He went there. Now some one is holding charge. Still the whole thing is being considered by the Government of India.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—For having taken prompt action regarding Hanuman Matti affair, we may be proud to congratulate, whoever it is. But look at your conduct in the Khadi Board where crores of rupees are involved, where it is going on for years and years. You have not taken any action. Why?

SRI K. H. PATIL.—May I personally explain Sir, because there is a deliberate false statement?

MR. SPEAKER.—I am sorry, I was making an honest endeavour that when we disperse, we can depart in good humour and in a pleasant atmosphere, though there was small criticism that “zero hour” was being developed.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It is not “zero hour.” It is grievance hour.

SRI K. H. PATIL.—I am only saying one point Sir. If the Speaker does not allow us, we will have our grievance.

MR. SPEAKER.—What is reasonable so far as you are concerned, should not be judged by you. How many times, I have allowed personal statements? Simply because he says something and you say something and you do not agree, you should not go with a grievance against the Speaker. How can I give you any further chance to speak? The whole thing is wrong. You should not have raised this subject. You could have said only that the paper which was promised to be placed on the table of the House was not placed. But you go on developing the point. The Hon'ble Minister makes a lengthy statement when the point is not before the House. I am really sorry. But I do find that in the course of the debate, to the best of my recollection, that the original Government order sanctioning the grant to the institution, which was asked for, if there is no objection, it can be placed on the Table of the House. This is what I remember to have said. From what Mr. K. H. Patil says, it is certainly a grievance. The House is adjourning today and the matter gets postponed till the House re-assembles next time. But why not the Member concerned pursue and send me a note? He might have told me that this has not been done. Every Member thinks that he can raise any point on the floor of the House. That is the one thing which I want to see does not happen. Kindly give him the list of Business.

It is supposed to be final. It is circulated practically the previous day. If Members think that there is a grievance hour, time must be fruitfully spent. If you can tell me the subject matter before hand, I can tell the other party to come prepared. Otherwise, it does not look nice in the Legislature business.

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—A short-notice question was asked by me, whether Government is prepared to give us a statement filed with the Maharashtra—Mysore Boundary Commission. Whether it was prepared and placed on the table of the House. It was given by me on the 16th and I think the Chief Minister agreed to answer that question. It is a most important question affecting Kannada people. Whether the Chief Minister has taken any decision to take the Members of this House into confidence as promised I want to know. Therefore this is an important question and no answer has been given and they have not cared to answer it. We do not know what statement they are going to file before the Mahajan Commission. I am afraid they may betray the Kannada people.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Strong feelings are strongly expressed. One important aspect should be remembered. Members feel that there is only one opportunity open to them and always make use of the floor of the House to elicit information. I do not think that is correct. As Members, they are entitled to write to Government and Government is bound to give whatever information they want. Perhaps, in the House of Commons more information is elicited and more questions are answered and more information gathered by correspondence. It means that they reserve time in the House for some other more useful purpose. No Member chooses to do it. If the members tell me before hand, I can try to be of help to them. This is not a court. I am not here sitting as a Judge. All that I am interested is to see that there is a fair chance given to everybody. I am trying to carry out that responsibility and co-ordinate as much as possible. One should not think that his question is not answered. It shall certainly be, up to the best of my endeavour, to help the members as much as possible. But the Members also should co-operate with me. They should be able to read and study and if they still experience any difficulty, I will help them.

The House will now adjourn and re-assemble on the 2nd of May at 1-00 P.M.

The House adjourned at Forty-five minutes past Four of the Clock to meet again at One of the Clock on 2nd May 1967.
